

TELEPHONE: 020 8464 3333 CONTACT: Steve Wood

stephen.wood@bromley.gov.uk

DIRECT LINE: 020 8313 4316

FAX: 020 8290 0608 DATE: 9 June 2015

To: Members of the

THE LONDON BOROUGH

www.bromley.gov.uk

Bromley

LOCAL JOINT CONSULTATIVE COMMITTEE

Employer's Side Staff Side and Departmental Representatives

Councillor Russell Mellor (Chairman)

Councillor Nicholas Bennett J.P. Adam Jenkins, Unite

Councillor Stephen Carr Glenn Kelly, Staff Side Secretary

Councillor Simon Fawthrop Mary Odoi, Unite Councillor Tom Philpott Kathy Smith, Unite

Councillor Diane Smith Max Winters, Education & Care Services

Councillor Tim Stevens J.P. Councillor Michael Turner Councillor Angela Wilkins

A meeting of the Local Joint Consultative Committee will be held at Committee Room 1 - Bromley Civic Centre on **TUESDAY 16 JUNE 2015 AT 6.30 PM**

Rooms have been reserved for Members and the Staff Side to meet separately at 6pm before the meeting commences at 6.30pm. The Assistant Chief Executive (Human Resources) will be available from 6.00pm to brief Members.

MARK BOWEN
Director of Corporate Services

AGENDA

1 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE AND NOTIFICATION OF SUBSTITUTE MEMBERS

2 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

To record any declarations of interest from Members present.

- 3 MINUTES FROM THE PREVIOUS MEETING OF LOCAL JOINT CONSULTATIVE COMMITTEE HELD ON 31ST MARCH 2015. (Pages 3 8)
- 4 MEMBER TRAINING ON THE COMMISSIONING PROCESS AND CONTRACT MONITORING

The last LJCC resolved that there be member training to aid them in the understanding of the commissioning process, and the monitoring of contracts.

The Staff Side would like to be involved in the training programme to ensure that the

training is balanced, so that members can make informed decisions.

The Staff Side would like to be updated concerning progress made in the implementation of this training.

5 EMPLOYEE REPRESENTATION ARRANGEMENTS

The Staff Side make the following comment:

At the last LJCC, the staff side raised concerns about the process by which the decision to alter the "Employee Representation Arrangements" was taken by the GP&L. Despite the unions concerns about the robustness of the process and proposal, the report advised that "in the face of massive budget gaps totalling circa £50m in the next few years, the Council is proposing a number of financial and structural changes unprecedented in the history of the organisation, resulting in difficult but unavoidable decisions" and advised that "regrettably it is proposed to end the secondment of staff into staff representation roles (i.e. the trade union and the staff side secretary roles) at tax payers' expense".

Following from this, the Staff Side would like to ask the Committee the following questions:

Are members aware of the offer from UNITE to pay for the Branch Secretary wages?

Also, is the Committee prepared, (as was suggested in para 6.5) to review the arrangement, "in the interest of good industrial relations, effective staff engagement and the Council's overall duty of care to Bromley residents"

6 TUPE PROBLEMS

The Staff Side make the following comment:

At the last LJCC, the Assistant Director (Lesley Moore) advised that it was not the case that contractors would be seeking to make former LBB staff redundant.

The Staff Side would like to ask:

Are members aware of the problems encountered through the TUPE negotiations, for the LBB staff transferred from Parks and Greenspaces to The Landscape Group?

7 DATE OF NEXT MEETING

The next meeting of the LJCC will be on the 21 st October 2015.	
	•

LOCAL JOINT CONSULTATIVE COMMITTEE

Minutes of the meeting held at 6.30 pm on 31 March 2015

Present:

Employer's Side

Staff Side and Departmental Representatives

Councillor Nicholas Bennett J.P.
Councillor Russell Mellor
Councillor Keith Onslow
Councillor Tony Owen
Councillor Diane Smith
Councillor Michael Turner
Councillor Angela Wilkins

Adam Jenkins, Unite
Gill Slater, Regeneration & Transformation
Service
Kathy Smith, Unite

31 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE AND NOTIFICATION OF SUBSTITUTE MEMBERS

Apologies were received from Councillor Eric Bosshard, Glen Kelly and Max Winters. Apologies were also received from Councillor Stephen Carr, and Councillor Colin Smith attended as his replacement.

32 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

There were no declarations of interest.

33 MINUTES FROM THE PREVIOUS MEETING OF LOCAL JOINT CONSULTATIVE COMMITTEE HELD ON 10th FEBRUARY 2015.

The minutes of the previous meeting of the LJCC held on the 10th February 2015 were agreed.

34 COMMISSIONING AND CONTRACTUAL PROBLEMS

The matter of alleged contractual problems was opened on the Staff Side by Gill Slater who focussed on what the Staff Side perceived as problems with the Capita IT contract. The Staff Side commenced by referencing the report that went to the Executive and Resources Committee on the 12th March 2015. This was report number CSD 15044--Capita Secure Information Systems Contract Monitoring Report. The Staff Side argued that it was not democratic for an organisation to be self-reporting, and that Capita had failed to advise that their KPI target with respect to calls answered during 2014, had not been met.

Local Joint Consultative Committee 31 March 2015

The Staff Side contended that Capita were not achieving their service request resolution targets, and that the report outlined Capita's failings. It was further argued that Capita did not accept responsibility or liability for their actions. The Staff Side noted that the IT contract had originally been allocated to SunGard, but the contract had gone to Capita after a subsequent buy out.

Lesley Moore (Assistant Director-Corporate Projects and Transformation) attended the meeting to speak about commissioning and contracts, and to answer any questions that may arise. She advised Members that officers in the Commissioning Group were currently reviewing the governance arrangements, particularly if more complex services are outsourced.

To get a balanced view, the Assistant Director informed the Committee that she personally had oversight of the Liberata contract, and had recently called in the Head of Liberata for a meeting to discuss a problem with KPI's. Weekly meetings were held subsequently until the targets were hit. The Assistant Director stated that LBB were keen to avoid reputational risk, and that regular monitoring took place. She then explained about the approach to contract management and the associated resources allocated which will vary depending on value of the contract, strategic importance and the level of risk. Some contracts would therefore require monthly meetings with the provider, some quarterly and some less frequently.

A Member commented that there were huge issues with Liberata and housing benefit processing errors, and that because of this there were instances where individuals had lost their tenancy. The Member continued that she had attended a meeting with Peter Turner (Finance Director) and with Liberata in an attempt to understand the mechanisms and processes involved in dealing with housing benefit claims. The Member expressed concerns to the Committee that the scale of housing benefit errors was not being communicated properly to Members and PDS Committees. The Member expressed the view that Councillors needed to understand contracts. Concern was also expressed at the possibility of reducing PDS Committees resulting in less accountability and scrutiny.

The Assistant Director-Corporate Projects and Transformation, stated that where there existed large contracts and multiple contracts, it was unrealistic to expect that there would never be any problems; however it was the case that when problems were identified, LBB had the authority to hold contractors to account.

There was some confusion in the meeting initially as to the differences between "thin" and "fat" clients, and so clarification was provided by the Assistant Director. It was explained that a "thin" client referred to the retention of core expertise within the Authority, and that it would always be the case that this would be required to deal with complaints, and to oversee the monitoring and the fulfilment of contracts.

The Chairman suggested that a seminar or training be set up for Members to assist in the understanding of the contracting and commissioning process. This was agreed by the Committee.

The Committee were in agreement that there should be more involvement by Members in contract monitoring.

RESOLVED:

- (1) that a seminar or some form of alternate training be set up to aid Members in their understanding of the commissioning process, and the monitoring of contracts
- (2) that there should be more Member involvement and scrutiny of contracts.

35 WORKING CONDITIONS FOR BROMLEY STAFF TRANSFERRED TO PRIVATE COMPANIES

The Staff Side raised concerns about pay terms and conditions experienced by staff after being transferred to private companies as part of the commissioning process.

The Staff Side expressed the view that LBB should place caveats in the contracts of those being transferred out, so that their pay and conditions would be safeguarded. They felt that LBB had a moral obligation to protect staff that had often provided loyal service to LBB for many years, and that LBB should be careful where staff were placed.

The Staff Side asked if LBB took account of the background and history of companies that staff were being contracted out to, or whether LBB were not concerned about this, and were just concerned with the financial aspects of the contracts. The Staff Side gave an example of a company that staff had been contracted out to, and where subsequently there were anomalies in the way that leave and absences were recorded, and where sometimes there was a loss of sickness pay.

The Staff Side proceeded to raise what it perceived as issues with the Parks and Green Spaces contract. The Staff Side stated that the KPI's in this contract had failed to achieve the minimum requirements, and as such should have been reported back to the Committee. It was also suggested that the contractors lacked experience, did not have enough drivers, and lacked resources in general.

A Member asked the Staff Side what clauses they felt should be incorporated into contracts to provide additional safeguards. The Vice Chairman responded that in the opinion of the Staff Side, many of the new contracts were in essence setting former LBB staff up for redundancy, and asked why LBB would adopt a policy that would result in staff losing their jobs.

Mr Charles Obazuaye (Director of Human Resources) stated that contractors were being held to account, and that LBB could not dictate to contractors what

Local Joint Consultative Committee 31 March 2015

they should incorporate into their contracts. It was also the case that LBB were limited in what they could reasonably incorporate into the contracts.

The Assistant Director pointed out that contractors would require a certain skill set to ensure the efficient fulfilment of contracts, and would interview to this end in an unbiased fashion. It was not the case that contractors would be seeking to make former LBB staff redundant.

A Member made a similar observation, commenting that contractors would need the best staff or they would lose the contract.

The Assistant Director observed that only one staff member had been made redundant as a result of the Liberata contract. The Staff Side responded that this may have been the case up until now, but that plans were in progress to move the Liberata call centre to Barrow.

36 STAFF CONSULTATION CONCERNING TRADE UNION FACILITY TIME

The Staff Side expressed the view that despite assurances that the issues concerning trade union facility time would be investigated and that proper transparency and consultation would take place, the majority of employees within the council were still unaware of the proposal.

The Chairman opened the discussion by stating that this matter had been debated at the previous GP&L meeting, and that it had been confirmed that the Staff Side would have full council support.

The Staff Side argued that proper consultations had not taken place, and so the process had not been transparent and that the majority of staff had not been consulted.

The Director of Human Resources stated that it was the duty of the Trade Unions to ensure that their members were properly informed; the Staff Side maintained that it was for LBB to ensure proper consultation. The Director of Human Resources responded that the comparison was false as there had been no direct change in staff conditions.

The Director informed the Committee that he had previously met with the Vice Chairman, and with departmental representatives to brief them and to outline where all the relevant information could be located. He concluded by stating that the process had been transparent, and that there had been no wrong doing or secrecy.

The Chairman noted the concerns of the Staff Side.

37 UNITE'S FAIR PROCUREMENT DOCUMENT

The Chairman opened by stating that not all Members were aware of Unite's Fair Procurement Document, but that it did appear to be in conflict with Council policy.

The Staff Side stated that the document was to be regarded as a basis for negotiation and discussion, and were hoping that the Council would work with them to this end.

A Member stated that aspects of the procurement strategy could be looked at, and that the document should not be written off without being considered in more detail.

A Member asked the Vice Chairman how the current procurement process compared with the Unite Document; the Vice Chairman responded that she could not answer this as the Staff Side had not been consulted. A Member made the comment that it may be a good idea to give in house services more of a chance before outsourcing took place.

The Assistant Director (Corporate Projects and Transformation) informed the Committee that LBB's procurement strategy was on the Council website, and that the decision about whether to source services from within the Council or outside falls within the commissioning process, determined by the requirement to deliver Best Value, particularly in light of the financial pressures facing the Council over the next few years. She went on to explain that there was a difference between commissioning and outsourcing. Commissioning was concerned with identifying the service need and then the best delivery model for meeting this need, with regard to both quality and cost, which was not necessarily the same thing as out sourcing.

A Member expressed the view that social enterprise should be encouraged. The Staff Side responded that museum staff had asked to do this but had been refused.

38 DATE OF NEXT MEETING

The date of the next meeting was confirmed as the 16th June 2015.

The Meeting ended at 7.50 pm

Chairman

This page is left intentionally blank